UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,620	11/28/2006	Nicholas William Anderson	9010/96000 (04-0102)	5901
	7590 03/30/201 FABIN & FLANNER)	_	EXAMINER	
	ASALLE STREET		DANIEL JR, WILLIE J	
SUITE 1600 CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/551,620	ANDERSON, NICHOLAS WILLIAM				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	WILLIE J. DANIEL JR	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/28	2/2006					
	action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	'					
,— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1900 C.D. 11, 400 C.G. 210.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ciccion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 November 2006</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The path of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached office Action of form F 10-132.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
222 III.2 IIII.201104 40 III.00 40 II.01 10 14 II.01 10 II.01 10 II.01 10 II.01 10 II.01 10 II.01 II.01 III.01 III						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to application filed on 28 November 2006 (including amendment).

Claims 1-32 are now pending in the present application. This office action is made Non-

Final.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in **United Kingdom** on **13 August 2004**. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the **GB 0418107.9** application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on
 - a. 02 April 2008
 - b. 03 February 2006

is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and is being considered by the examiner.

The IDS (see item 3a above) included reference document(s) that was/were lined through (or crossed-out) and have not been considered by the Examiner. Reasons for not considering the documents are at the least the following:

i. The IDS included cite no. 7 that indicated a *written opinion* was filed but the written opinion is not included in the document. The Examiner recommends that applicant reviews the submitted reference document(s) to verify if all papers were are provided.

Art Unit: 2617

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

a. Fig. 1 'ref. 105'.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive.

Specification

- 6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because
 - a. The abstract recites the language "...Fig. 1 to accompany..." in line(s) 29 of the abstract. The Examiner suggests removing said language to help clarify the abstract.
 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Art Unit: 2617

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

a. The specification recites the limitation "...reduced..." on page(s) 8 of the specification. The Examiner requests that the punctuation mark -- . -- be added and suggests replacing the limitation with -- reduced. -- to help clarify the claim language.

Appropriate correction is required.

8. This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive.

Claim Objections

- 9. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 25 recite the limitation "...station..." in line(s) 2 of the claim. The Examiner requests that the punctuation mark -- . -- be added and suggests replacing the limitation with -- station. -- to help clarify the claim language..

Appropriate correction is required.

10. This list of examples is not intended to be exhaustive.

Art Unit: 2617

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-13, 16-25, 27-29, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cao et al. (hereinafter Cao) (US 6,647,005 B1) in view of Terry et al. (hereinafter Terry) (US 6,587,697 B2).

Regarding **claims 1 and 29**, Cao discloses an apparatus for transmitting user equipment specific information from a base station (e.g., BS) to a user equipment (e.g., mobile station MS) in a cellular communication system (see col. 3, lines 8-17; Fig. 10); the apparatus comprising:

means for combining user equipment specific information for a plurality of user equipment to generate combined user equipment specific information (see col. 4, lines 30-35,40-42; Fig. 10), where the system multiplexes information for multiple users; means for encoding the combined user equipment specific information (see col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 2; col. 4, lines 3-5); and

means for transmitting the combined user equipment specific information in a minimum transmission resource unit (e.g., slot) (see col. 3, line 20; col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

In the same field of endeavor, Terry discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 2**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the minimum transmission resource unit is a time slot (see col. 3, line 20; col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 3**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the minimum transmission resource unit is a single time code frequency resource allocation unit (see col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 4**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the means for encoding is operable to jointly encode user equipment specific information for at least two of the plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 3-5,38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s)

encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 5**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the means for encoding is operable to jointly encode user equipment specific information associated with all user equipment of the plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 3-5,38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claims 7 and 32**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 4 wherein the user equipment specific information comprises a plurality of parameters each having a number of possible values, and wherein the means for encoding is operable to encode the plurality of parameters by encoding a combined parameter having a combined number of possible values equal to the product of the number of possible values of the plurality of parameters (see col. 4, lines 3-5,38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 8**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the user equipment specific information comprises power control information (see col. 3, lines 25-30).

Regarding **claim 9**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the user equipment specific information comprises synchronisation information (see col. 3, lines 25-30; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 10**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the user equipment specific information comprises only synchronisation information (see col. 3, lines 25-30; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 11**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the user equipment specific information is associated with an uplink channel from each of the plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 13-15; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 12**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as

claimed in claim 1 further comprising means for setting a transmit power for the minimum transmission resource unit in response to a transmit power requirement of the plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 13-15; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 13**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 further comprising means for transmitting position information indicative of a position of user equipment specific information for a first user equipment (see col. 4, lines 13-15,60-62; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 16**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed claim 1 wherein the means for encoding is operable to encode the combined user equipment specific information by using processing algorithms of a group of algorithms used by a plurality of services (see col. 4, lines 3-5,38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 17**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 further comprising means for transmitting position information indicative

of a position of user equipment specific information for a first user equipment (see col. 4, lines 13-15,60-62; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 18**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 16), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 16 wherein the cellular communication system is the UTRA (UMTS (Universal Mobile Telecommunication System) Terrestrial Radio Access) TDD cellular communication system specified by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (see col. 3, lines 8-17; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 19**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 18), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 18 wherein the user equipment specific information consists of Transmit Power Control (TPC) and Synchronisation Shift (SS) data (see col. 3, lines 25-30; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 20**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 further comprising means for determining a transmit power of the minimum transmission resource unit in response to a number of user equipment for which the minimum transmission resource unit comprises user equipment specific information (see col. 3, lines 25-30; col. 4, lines 13-15,60-62; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 21**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 further comprising means for determining an encoding process for the minimum transmission resource unit in response to a number of user equipment for which the minimum transmission resource unit comprises user equipment specific information (see col. 4, lines 3-5,38-42,49-

51,60-62; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 22**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 21), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 21 wherein the minimum transmission resource unit does not comprise verification data (see col. 4, lines 38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 23**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the means for transmitting is operable to transmit user equipment specific information for a first user in intermittent minimum transmission resource units (see col. 4, lines 38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10).

Regarding **claim 24**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the minimum transmission resource unit corresponds to a minimum size transmission block of user equipment specific information which can be transmitted by the means for transmitting (see col. 4, lines 38-42,49-51,60-62; Fig. 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/551,620

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 25**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed, as applied above (see claim 1), in addition Cao further discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the apparatus is a base station (see col. 4, lines 13-19; Fig. 10).

Page 12

Regarding **claim 27**, Cao discloses a user equipment as claimed in claim 26 wherein the combined user equipment specific information is jointly encoded; and wherein the means for determining comprises means for decoding the combined user equipment specific information and for selecting the user equipment specific information for the user equipment (see col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 2; col. 4, lines 3-5), where decoding would be implicit to receive information as evidenced by the fact that one of ordinary skill in the art would clearly recognize. Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Regarding **claim 28**, Cao discloses a cellular communication system (see col. 3, lines 8-17; Fig. 10) comprising

a first apparatus for transmitting user equipment specific information from a base station (e.g., BS) to a user equipment (e.g., mobile station MS) (see col. 4, lines 13-15), the first apparatus comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/551,620

Art Unit: 2617

Page 13

means for combining user equipment specific information for a plurality of user equipment to generate combined user equipment specific information (see col. 4, lines 30-35,40-42; Fig. 10), where the system multiplexes information for multiple users, means for encoding the combined user equipment specific information (see col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 2; col. 4, lines 3-5), and

means for transmitting the combined user equipment specific information in a minimum transmission resource unit (e.g., slot) (see col. 3, line 20; col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10); and the user equipment (see col. 4, lines 13-15) comprising:

means for receiving a minimum transmission resource unit comprising combined user equipment specific information for a plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 30-35,40-42; Fig. 10), where the system multiplexes information for multiple users; and means for determining user specific information for the user equipment from the minimum transmission resource unit (e.g., slot) (see col. 3, line 20; col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10). Cao inexplicitly discloses having the feature(s) encoding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) encoding was well known in the art, as taught by Terry.

Terry further discloses the feature(s) encoding (see col. 4, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao and Terry to have the feature(s) encoding, in order a method of performing power control while minimizing the overhead, as taught by Terry (see col. 2, lines 40-42).

Claims 6 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cao et al. (hereinafter Cao) (US 6,647,005 B1) in view of Terry et al. (hereinafter Terry) (US 6,587,697 B2) as applied to claim 4 and 5 above, and further in view of Tsunehara et al. (hereinafter Tsunehara) (US 7,006,463 B2).

Regarding **claims 6 and 31**, the combination of Cao and Terry discloses every limitation claimed as applied above in claim 4. Cao does not specifically disclose having the feature(s) wherein the encoding comprises forward error correcting coding. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) wherein the encoding comprises forward error correcting coding was well known in the art, as taught by Tsunehara.

In the same field of endeavor, Tsunehara discloses the feature(s) wherein the encoding comprises forward error correcting coding (see col. 5, lines 10-12).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao, Terry, and Tsunehara to have the feature(s) wherein the encoding comprises forward error correcting coding, in order to provide a system in which a base station controls transmission power, as taught by Tsunehara (see col. 2, lines 53-57).

Claim 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cao et al. (hereinafter Cao) (US 6,647,005 B1) in view of Terry et al. (hereinafter Terry) (US 6,587,697 B2) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Kim et al. (hereinafter Kim) (US 7,450,611 B2).

Regarding **claim 14**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 1 wherein the user equipment specific information is control information associated with service (see col. 4,

lines 13-15,60-62; col. 3, lines 25-30; Fig. 10). The combination of Cao and Terry does not specifically disclose having the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service was well known in the art, as taught by Kim.

In the same field of endeavor, Kim discloses the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service (see col. 9, lines 55-60; col. 12, lines 19-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao, Terry, and Kim to have the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service, in order to provide an apparatus and method for transmitting and receiving information for user in an HSDPA communication system, as taught by Tsunehara (see col. 7, lines 34-39).

Regarding **claim 15**, Cao discloses an apparatus as claimed in claim 14 wherein the user equipment specific information is associated with an uplink dedicated physical channel (DPCH) of the HSDPA downlink packet data service (see col. 4, lines 13-15,60-62; col. 3, lines 25-30; Fig. 10). The combination of Cao and Terry does not specifically disclose having the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service. However, the examiner maintains that the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service was well known in the art, as taught by Kim.

In the same field of endeavor, Kim discloses the feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service (see col. 9, lines 55-60; col. 12, lines 19-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Cao, Terry, and Kim to have the

Art Unit: 2617

feature(s) High Speed Downlink Packet Access (HSDPA) service, in order to provide an apparatus and method for transmitting and receiving information for user in an HSDPA communication system, as taught by Tsunehara (see col. 7, lines 34-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cao et al. (hereinafter Cao) (US 6,647,005 B1).

Regarding **claims 26 and 30**, Cao discloses a user equipment (e.g., mobile station MS) for receiving user equipment specific information from a base station (e.g., BS) in a cellular communication system (see col. 3, lines 8-17; Fig. 10);

the apparatus comprising:

means for receiving a minimum transmission resource unit comprising combined user equipment specific information for a plurality of user equipment (see col. 4, lines 30-35,40-42; Fig. 10), where the system multiplexes information for multiple users; and means for determining user specific information for the user equipment from the minimum transmission resource unit (e.g., slot) (see col. 3, line 20; col. 4, lines 38-42; Fig. 10).

Art Unit: 2617

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to WILLIE J. DANIEL JR whose telephone number is

(571)272-7907. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

/WJD,Jr/

WJD,Jr

26 March 2010

/Charles N. Appiah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617